# Safe Temporal Planning for Urban Driving

Bence Cserna, William J. Doyle, Tianyi Gu, Wheeler Ruml

bence, doyle, gu, ruml at cs.unh.edu

# **New Hampshire**

#### Motivation

### Safe Temporal Lattice Planner

#### **Empirical Validation**

• The central goal of artificial intelligence is to construct autonomous systems.

 It is becoming more common that these systems interact closely with humans. Autonomous vehicle technology is an auspicious example.

• It is crucial that AI systems humans interact

 Our work addresses urban driving at the level of motion planning: we send trajectories to the vehicle such that the motions are safe and comfortable for passengers.

 Instead of doing an exhaustive search we explicitly optimize for the safe solution.

with are designed with safety as a fundamental aspect.

#### Contributions

- A new technique for urban driving explicitly designed to achieve a safe high-quality plan.
- Empirically demonstrate our safe temporal planner is a significant improvement to conventional methods while still maintaining passenger comfort and safety.

 Theoretical results guaranteeing our approach will find a safe plan and expand only twice as many states as a naïve



SafeTLP phase 1: search optimized for performance (velocity, comfort, etc.)



SafeTLP phase 3: search optimized for safety.

Distance-velocity projection of the plan-to-stop search tree. The horizontal axis represents space and the vertical axis velocity. Note that the temporal aspect of the states is not captured by the projection.



## Problem Setup

 Self-driving vehicles frequently replan to accommodate dynamic obstacles and to ensure safety.

• One way to generate a trajectory is to take a spatial plan, a path, and assign accelerations along the path.

 Previous methods approached this problem by constructing a comfortable trajectory that brings the vehicle to a stop by the end of the spatial plan.

#### Algorithm 1: SafeTLP

Input: *s<sub>root</sub>* 

1 perform BEST-FIRST SEARCH on lattice to find a potentially unsafe trajectory T from  $s_{root}$  to a partial goal  $2s_{current} \leftarrow T.last$ 

**3 while**  $s_{current}$  exists **do** 

4 perform BEST-FIRST SEARCH on  $d_{safe}$ 

5 from the node  $s_{current}$  to  $s_{goal}$ 

6 if  $s_{goal}$  is found then

7 cache the safe partial path from  $s_{current}$  to  $s_{goal}$ 8 return  $\langle s_{root} \dots s_{current} \rangle \langle s_{current} \dots s_{goal} \rangle$ 

9 else

10  $s_{current} \leftarrow s_{current}.predecessor$  in P

11 BEST-FIRST SEARCH to find a safe trajectory T from  $s_{root}$  to  $s_{goal}$ 12 return T (a): Distribution of the number of expanded nodes during search.(b): Planning time distribution.(c): Average vehicle velocity distribution.

#### Conclusion

 Introduced a new and more effective method for safe action selection in spatiotemporal planning.



 Other planners expand more nodes than required to guarantee safety; our approach quickly generates safe and comfortable plans online.

 Drastically reduced planning time while maintaining a higher average velocity of the vehicle.

 We've demonstrated that safe real-time heuristic search (Cserna et al., 2018) has important benefits in autonomous vehicles.